UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/708,559	03/11/2004	PUN CHOON ONG	112075	2558
28020 7590 03/28/2008 GRAY, PLANT, MOOTY, MOOTY & BENNETT, P.A. P.O. BOX 2906			EXAMINER	
			MILLER, BENA B	
MINNEAPOLI	APOLIS, MN 55402-0906		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3725	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/708,559	ONG, PUN CHOON
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Bena Miller	3725
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 A</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This     Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under A	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 9,10,12-14 and 16-20 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 9,10 and 12-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documen</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documen</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen</li> <li>application from the International Burea</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receive nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Remarks in the RCE filed 04/04/07 are duly noted.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 10 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 10, there is lack of proper antecedent basis for the limitation "forearm".

Regarding claim 13, there is lack of antecedent basis for the limitation "said backhoe".

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9, 10 and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weiger (US Patent 3,157,288) in view of Conner et al (US Patent 3,003,399) and Broussard (US Patent 2,659,494).

Application/Control Number: 10/708,559 Page 3

Art Unit: 3725

Weiger teaches most of the elements of the claimed a body, tracks and operator cabin (fig.1); a boom having first and second ends (5) and attached to the body at the first end; a winch pulley (20) disposed proximate said second end of said boom; a winch reel disposed proximate the boom first end (combination of 30 and 31), said reel winding and unwinding a cable positioned by said pulley and attached to said log; and a stabilizing arm attached to the boom (13 attached via 24 and 35 to the boom) and stabilizing the machine when said logs are being retrieved. However, Weiger fails to teach an engine, a solenoid valve and a grapple. Broussard et al teaches that it is well known to provide an engine for a moving machine (col. 2, line 4). Broussard et al further teaches that the machine uses hydraulic means to operate a grappler 14. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate an engine as suggested by Broussard et al in the device of Weiger for the purpose of operating the machine. It would have also been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a grappler and hydraulic means as suggested by Broussard with the stabilizing arm of Weiger for the purpose of providing an adjustment during transportation or operation of the arm.

Conner et al teaches that it well known to use a solenoid valve with a moving machine (col. 11, lines 34-58). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a solenoid valve as suggested by Conner et al in the device of Weiger for the purpose of operating the machine.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 16-20 are allowed.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bena Miller whose telephone number is 571.272.4427. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on 571-272-4419. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Bena Miller/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3725 March 26, 2008